

Mind the Gap: Citizen-Led Efforts to Build Bureaucratic Responsiveness in Rural India

Policy Issue

Citizens frequently turn to appointed government personnel for essential services. However, these local officials are highly constrained, often operating with few resources and under pressure from senior bureaucrats and politicians. This creates an accountability gap, where ordinary citizens struggle to make their voices heard among officials who must ration their time and attention. Under these conditions, how can citizens effectively demand greater bureaucratic responsiveness? When are appointed personnel most likely to both listen to and act upon citizens' claims? Our research sought to address these questions.

Bureaucratic Accountability Gaps

In many settings, policy implementation and service delivery remain primarily the responsibility of under-resourced and unelected government personnel. They are often required to ration their time and resources; as a result, they end up listening to higher-ups or those who signal higher-level connections. Such officials hardly see themselves as being formally answerable to local communities. Some citizens are able to access appointed officials by working through politicians to bring pressure to bear on bureaucratic agencies (taking a so-called "long route" to accountability); however, most ordinary citizens experience a bureaucratic accountability gap in which the responsible government workers do not address their complaints.

There is, therefore, growing interest among scholars and practitioners alike to narrow this gap and make bureaucrats more directly accountable to the citizens they serve (pursuing so-called "short routes" to accountability). Existing evidence, however, remains thin and uneven. It is unclear when or how the "short route" works. This provokes the following questions: What strategies can citizens pursue to gain the attention of appointed personnel, and under what conditions are such personnel most likely to be moved to act upon citizens' complaints?

Collaborative Research: Combining citizens' and bureaucrats' perspectives

We explored these questions in rural India, where central and state governments have launched ambitious welfare and development programs. Our focus is on the community development bloc – the government office that sits between the district and village in the three-tier system of rural administration used in most Indian states since the 1950s. These offices are a critical part of the citizen-state interface. Citizens, along with various intermediaries and social accountability actors, have worked to improve program implementation and government responsiveness within the bloc.

Our research collaboration brought together academics and practitioners. We worked with the NGO [Video Volunteers](#), which supports a national network of local Community Correspondents (CCs). For the past 20 years, these CCs have filmed deficiencies in government resource allocation, interviewed residents about their problems, and screened their videos for government officials to prompt action. Video Volunteers reports a 20% success rate, where CCs' efforts have led to tangible impacts, such as repairing water sources, delivering delayed pensions, or staffing health clinics.

Our research built on the CCs' experiences and employed a bureaucrat-centered view to study the strategies and conditions that foster greater responsiveness to citizens' claims. We employed a multi-method approach, including qualitative shadowing and interviews with CCs and bloc officials across three Indian states – Jharkhand, Bihar, and Uttar Pradesh – and an in-person survey of 1,293 personnel across 258 block offices in Jharkhand.

Citizen Voice Can Build Bureaucratic Responsiveness

In examining bureaucratic responsiveness, we focused on the first two components of a citizen's experience when approaching a government office: whether they gain attention (their complaints are heard) and whether an official takes action (their complaints are prioritized). Both responsiveness elements can be difficult for citizens to secure in a resource-constrained setting with overburdened and upwardly accountable local officials.

Based on our qualitative engagements with both CCs and bloc officials, we argue that officials are more likely to pay attention when presented with citizen testimony that elicits empathy and are likely to act on an issue if citizen mobilization triggers reputational concerns. Citizens can, therefore, harness both mechanisms – empathy and reputation – to provoke greater bureaucratic responsiveness.

We tested our initial finding in rural Jharkhand, one of India's poorest states, through a series of survey experiments fielded in bloc offices. The first experiment used videos, designed with Video Volunteers, highlighting broken drinking water pumps and poor-quality housing. The videos described the same issues but varied the framing, featuring either "citizen voice" (with real testimony from community members narrating their lived experiences) or "official statistics" (describing the issue in terms of government targets and benchmarks). The second experiment included vignettes about different forms of citizen mobilization on the same issues highlighted in the videos: in-person collective action at a bloc office or digital mobilization via social media.

We found that the "citizen voice" videos got more attention from the officials than the "official statistics" controls, although they did not significantly increase officials' willingness to act on an issue. Digital mobilization vignettes, on the other hand, led to a perceived increase in pressure to act. Bloc officials were more willing to solve the problem, as they were concerned about the potential fallout with senior officials if the videos were to be publicly shared.

Implications and Recommendations

Our findings indicate that bureaucrats are often empathetic and socially committed. Citizens can, therefore, leverage these traits to amplify their claims. At the same time, top-down oversight is crucial in driving responsiveness. Digital mobilization allows citizens to influence officials' reputational and career concerns, revealing the potential for citizen-driven accountability efforts – even for those who lack strong political or social connections.

These findings carry implications for actors – from civil society organizations, to governments, to citizens themselves – engaged in efforts to build social accountability, understood as the non-electoral mechanisms through which citizens can make their voices heard. By identifying a citizen-led pathway to bureaucratic responsiveness and empirically demonstrating the potential of this "short route" to accountability, we hope to encourage time and resource

investments to support these practices. At the same time, our findings suggest that citizens' pursuit of accountability from below is shaped by the top-down constraints officials face. Taking these bureaucratic constraints seriously suggests that there are particular strategies and modes of engagement that citizens can deploy to narrow the accountability gap.

Our research emphasizes the importance of emotion in shaping citizen-state engagements. These dynamics are often overlooked in accountability studies, which tend to focus more on monitoring and bureaucratic career incentives. Empathy, however, plays a key role in focusing officials' attention – particularly when they are overloaded. However, there are also limits to empathy; although it can focus attention, it is not enough to move officials to action. Citizens need to bring pressure to bear. One such way is by triggering concerns about oversight. These can be activated by amplifying citizen voices to create publicity concerns among officials. Digital technology and social media are powerful tools in this endeavor.

Together, these dual strategies of eliciting empathy and concerns about top-down oversight suggest a role for both collaborative and combative approaches in working with officials. It is possible to call on both the good human nature of public personnel and their fear of public scrutiny to achieve results.

Source:

More information about this research project can be found in Gabrielle Kruks-Wisner and Tanu Kumar's working paper, *'Voice and Response: How Can Citizens Demand Accountability from Bureaucrats?'* Available [here](#).

How to Cite:

Kruks-Wisner, Gabrielle; Kumar, Tanu; and Mayberry, Jessica. (2024). "Mind the Gap: Citizen-Led Efforts to Build Bureaucratic Responsiveness in Rural India." Ed. Shaber-Twedt, Rose. *Governance and Local Development Institute*, University of Gothenburg, Policy Brief no. 26, 2024.